

Published on Web 04/06/2004

The Degree of Charge Transfer in Ground and Charge-Separated States Revealed by Ultrafast Visible Pump/Mid-IR Probe Spectroscopy

Igor V. Rubtsov,[†] Youn K. Kang,[†] Naomi P. Redmore,[†] Rebecca M. Allen,[†] Jieru Zheng,[‡] David N. Beratan,*,[‡] and Michael J. Therien*,[†]

Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323, and Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708 Received December 22, 2003; Revised Manuscript Received February 18, 2004; E-mail: therien@sas.upenn.edu

An open challenge in charge-transfer (CT) chemistry is to quantify the degree of ground-state CT in weakly interacting donor-acceptor systems. While various absorption and scattering techniques provide information about CT,¹⁻⁶ they tend to be less reliable for weakly coupled systems. This report describes a new femtosecond visible pump/mid-IR probe spectroscopic approach to assess directly the ground- and excited-state degrees of CT in donor-spacer-acceptor (D-Sp-A) structures.

Two classes of (porphinato)zinc(II) (PZn)-based D-Sp-A compounds with either quinonyl (Q) (1a-Zn, 3a-Zn, PZn-Q;7 Figure 1A) or N-(N'-octyl)pyromellitic diimide (PI) (PZn-PI, PZn()PI;^{8,9} Figure 2B) electron acceptors were interrogated. These molecules have a range of Sp structures and exploit conventional linear and π -stacked coupling motifs. Carbonyl antisymmetric stretching mode frequency domain transient-IR spectra of these species were recorded and analyzed for the Q/PI moieties. The characteristic A modes shift to significantly lower energy relative to the groundstate frequencies in the respective charge-separated (CS) states of these complexes (Table 1, Figure 1). The frequency shifts reflect the decreased force constants for these modes in the CS state, tracking the augmented A-localized electronic charge in this staterelative to the ground state.

Interestingly, the magnitude of the carbonyl frequency shift between the ground and CS state, Δv_A , varies in compounds featuring the same acceptor moiety (Table 1). For example, Δv_A is \sim 7.3% smaller in **1a-Zn** as compared to that observed in **3a-Zn** (Table 1). Note also that the **1a-Zn** ground-state frequency (ν_A) is red-shifted while its corresponding CS-state frequency ($\nu_{A^{-}}$) is blueshifted with respect to the analogous modes of 3a-Zn.

As the D and A moieties in 1a-Zn are juxtaposed, there is substantial mixing of the neutral (D–Sp–A, ψ_0) and charge-resonance (D^+-Sp-A^-, ψ_1) wave functions in the ground state (ψ_G) ,^{1,2} signified by a pronounced CT band (Figure 1B). The properties of the ground and CS states can be described by the admixtures of the ψ_0 and ψ_1 wave functions.^{1,2} The contribution of the charge-resonance wave function, ψ_1 , in the ground electronic state can be estimated from the electronic coupling matrix element $H_{\rm DA}$,¹⁰ $C_2 \approx |H_{\rm DA}/\Delta E|$, where ΔE is the ground-to-CS state energy gap. The magnitude of the ground-state dipole moment, as well as the degree to which charge is localized on A in the ground state, scales with C_2^2 . The Q/PI carbonyl mode acceptor frequency depends on the vibrational force constant (k), $v_{\rm CO} = \sqrt{k/m_{\rm r}/2\pi c}$. The force constant in the ground and CS states changes with a weighted average contribution of the charge resonant state. If the frequency change, Δv_A , is small relative to the ground-state frequency ($\Delta \nu_A \ll \nu_A$; $\Delta \nu_A = \nu_A - \nu_{A^-}$) and the D–A interaction is weak ($\delta \ll \Delta \nu_A$, where δ is the frequency change in the ground state due to the contribution of the charge-resonance state), the magnitude of δ can be computed from



Figure 1. Structures of the quinonyl-based D-Sp-A complexes (A); linear Visible spectra (B), and transient IR spectra (C) of **1a-Zn**, **3a-Zn**, and **PZn-Q**. Time delays for the **1a-Zn**, **3a-Zn**, and **PZn-Q** transient spectra are, respectively, 0.6, 5.0, and 1.7 ps (experimental conditions: λ_{ex} = 580 nm, solvent = 99:1 CDCl₃:pyridine-d₅, T = 23 °C).

the value of $C_2^{2:1} \delta \simeq \Delta \nu_A^{\circ} C_2^{2}$, where $\Delta \nu_A^{\circ} = \nu_A^{\circ} - \nu_A^{\circ-}$, and ν_A° and $\nu_{\rm A}^{\circ-}$ are ground- and CS-state Q/PI vibrational frequencies that lack contributions, respectively, from the ψ_1 and ψ_0 wave functions. The experimentally measured relative frequency shift ξ $(\xi = (\Delta \nu_{\rm A}^{\rm o} - \Delta \nu_{\rm A}) / \Delta \nu_{\rm A}^{\rm o})$ can be used to estimate the extent to which the CT wave function contributes to $\psi_{\rm G}$; this approach has been employed previously in transient Raman analysis of MLCT states.^{6c} Since $\xi \simeq 2C_2^2$, C_2^2 is expected to scale with the Mulliken population on the acceptor (P_Q) .¹¹

In **3a-Zn**, the D-to-A centroid distance is ~ 10.5 Å.⁷ We therefore assume that ψ_1 contributes little to ψ_G , and approximately one unit of charge is transferred from D to A in the CS state, and we take the measured **3a-Zn** v_A and v_{A^-} frequencies to be reasonable approximations for ν_A° and $\nu_A^{\circ-}$, respectively. In **1a-Zn**, the minimum D-A interplanar separation (2.97 Å) is less than the van der Waals contact distance.⁷ As such, strong mixing of ψ_0 and ψ_1 wave functions is anticipated. This mixing should serve to enhance groundstate polarization and, correspondingly, diminish CS state polarization in **1a-Zn** with respect to that observed in the analogous states of 3a-Zn.

The experimentally determined charge-resonance contribution to the ground state ($\xi/2$) of **1a-Zn** is 3.7%. A smaller contribution (1.5%) was determined for PZn-Q, where a difluorophenyl bridge connects the poprhyryl-5 and quinonyl-2 positions (Figure 1C, Table 1).

The charge-resonance contribution (C_2^2) to ψ_G can also be

University of Pennsylvania. [‡] Duke University.



Figure 2. (A) PZn-PI and PZn()PI linear visible spectra. (B) Transient IR spectra and linear FTIR spectra obtained for PZn-PI and PZn()PI. The time delays for the transient spectra are, respectively, 0.5 and 0.87 ps (experimental conditions: $\lambda_{ex}(\mathbf{PZn}-\mathbf{PI}) = 595 \text{ nm}; \ \hat{\lambda}_{ex}(\mathbf{PZn}(\mathbf{PI})) = 620 \text{ nm}; \text{ solvent} = 99:1$ CDCl₃:pyridine- d_5 , T = 23 °C).

Table 1. Ground (ν_A)- and CS (ν_{A^-})-State Acceptor Frequencies, along with Absolute ($\Delta\nu_{\rm A})$ and Relative ($\xi)$ Frequency Differences and Ground-State Acceptor Population (P_Q)

	$\nu_{\rm A}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$	$\nu_{\rm A^-}/{\rm cm^{-1}}$	$\Delta \nu_{\rm A}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$	ξ, %	2 <i>P</i> _Q , ^b %
3a-Zn 1a-Zn PZn-Q PZn()PI PZn-PI	1658.0 1655.7 1664 1730.3 1727.4	1494.3 1503.9 1505 1647.5 1654.5	163.7 152 159 82.8 72.9	$0^{a} \\ 7.3 \pm 0.7 \\ 2.9 \pm 0.6 \\ 0^{a} \\ 12 \pm 1.2 \\ 0^{a}$	7.4 0.9 5.7

^a Assumes that one full unit of charge is transferred within the initially prepared CS state. ${}^{b}P_{Q}$ is the computed Mulliken population on A expressed as the percentage of an electron charge based on analysis of the quasi-degenerate porphyrin frontier orbitals averaged over thermally accessible geometries.

estimated from the electronic coupling matrix element (H_{DA}) . Independent estimation of the electronic coupling matrix element $(H_{\rm DA})$ can be made via classic analysis of the intensity of the CT transition,³ $H_{\rm DA} = 2.06 \times 10^{-2} \sqrt{\nu_{\rm max} \epsilon_{\rm max} \Delta \nu_{\rm fwhm}} / R_{\rm DA}$. Here $\nu_{\rm max}$, $\Delta
u_{\mathrm{fwhm}}$, and ϵ_{max} are the peak frequency, bandwidth, and peak extinction coefficient, respectively, and R_{DA} is the D-to-A centerto-center distance. A pronounced CT band can be seen for 1a-Zn (Figure 1B),¹² with $\nu_{\text{max}} = 14\,980 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\Delta \nu_{\text{fwhm}} = 2980 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and $\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 2.6 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}$, giving $H_{\text{DA}} = 2330 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Using $\Delta E \approx$ 13 000 cm $^{-1}$, a $C_2{}^2$ value of 0.032 is obtained, which is remarkably close to the $\xi/2$ value (0.037) calculated from the IR band shifts (Table 1).

Similar data that chronicle frequency shifts for D-Sp-A compounds with **PI** acceptors are shown in Figure 2 and Table 1; ν (**PI**⁻) $-\nu$ (**PI**) for **PZn-PI** is ~12% smaller than that observed for **PZn-**()PI (Figure 2B, Table 1). The enhanced ground- and diminished CS-state polarization in PZn-PI relative to PZn()PI reflect the single-bond D-A separation in PZn-PI and the fact that substantial Coulombic interactions stabilize its CS state.⁹ The ψ_1 wave function contribution to $\psi_{\rm G}$ is much larger in **PZn-PI** as compared to that in PZn()PI, despite the near orthogonal orientation of D and A moieties in PZn-PI.^{8,13} This conclusion is consistent with previously published data that indicate ψ_G for **PZn()PI** possesses little amplitude on the intervening ethynyl group,⁹ that electronically isolated PZn and PI units. The Figure 2 transient data also underscore a dramatic increase of the PI- bandwidth in the PZn-PI CS state relative to that observed for the ground-state PI° absorbance, signaling augmented CS-state structural inhomogeneity. Given the PZn-PI structure, this inhomogeneity is largely reflected in the PZnto-PI torsional angle distribution.¹³ The magnitude of the PI⁻/PI° frequency shifts is half as large as the analogous Q^{-}/Q° shifts in these D-Sp-A systems, highlighting a clear correlation of Δv_A with A size and the sensitivity of the force constants to A charge density.

The experimentally determined degrees of charge transfer are mirrored in semiempirical (ZINDO) ground-state calculations on the structures (Table 1). The analysis indicates qualitative agreement between computed ground-state charge transfer and that determined by the pump/mid-IR probe spectroscopy.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a new, useful approach to determine the extent of CT in ground and CS states using visible pump/mid-IR probe spectroscopy. These data show that the frequency shift, Δv_A , established by this method provides a more accurate measure of the degree of CT as compared to the methods^{5,6} which rely on the ground-state frequency shift alone, as determination of Δv_A largely factors out frequency shifts that derive from the local electrostatic environment. This added accuracy, coupled with the ability of this method to interrogate the electronic interaction matrix element between ground and CS states, enable determination of new experimental benchmarks to test the power of complimentary computational methods. Further, we note that this approach may find particular utility when CT transitions either overlap strongly with other bands or possess low oscillator strength.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by a grant from the Division of Chemical Sciences, Office of Basic Energy Research, U.S. DOE (DE-FGO2-02ER15299). M.J.T. thanks the ONR (N00014-98-1-0725) and the MRSEC Program of the NSF (DMR-00-79909) for equipment grants for transient optical instrumentation. D.N.B. thanks the NIH for support.

References

- (1) Mulliken, R. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 811-824.
- Pauling, L. *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*; Cornell University Press: Ithaca, NY, 1985. (2)
- (3) Hush, N. S. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1967, 8, 391-444.
- Comes, R. In Chemistry and Physics of One-Dimensional Metals; Keller, (4)
- Cohes, K. in *Chemistry and Physics of One-Dimensional Metals*, Kehel, H. J., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1977; pp 315–339. (a) Chappell, J. S.; Bloch, A. N.; Bryden, W. A.; Maxfield, M.; Poehler, T. O.; Cowan, D. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, *103*, 2442–2443. (b) Ruiz Delgado, M. C.; Hernandez, V.; Casado, J.; Lopez Nevarrete, J. T.; Raimundo, J.-M.; Blanchard, P.; Roncali, J. J. Mol. Struct. **2003**, 651– 651. Math. 1997. 653. 151-158
- (6) (a) Scholes, G. D.; Matousek, P.; Parker, A. W.; Phillips, D.; Towrie, M. J. Phys. Chem. A 1998, 102, 1431–1437. (b) Doorn, S. K.; Hupp, J. T.; Porterfield, D. R.; Campion, A.; Chase, D. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 4999–5002. (c) Caspar, J. V.; Westmoreland, T. D.; Allen, G. H.; Bradley, P. G.; Meyer, T. J.; Woodruff, W. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *Vol. Comput. Soc.* **1984**, *100*, 2000 106, 3492-3500.
- (7) (a) Kang, Y.; Rubtsov, I. V.; Iovine, P. M.; Chen, J.; Therien, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 8275-8279. (b) Iovine, P. M.; Veglia, G.; Furst, G.; Therien, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5668-5679.
- (8) Redmore, N. P.; Rubtsov, I. V.; Therien, M. J. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 566 - 570
- (9)Redmore, N. P.; Rubtsov, I. V.; Therien, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 2687-2696.
- (10) The assumptions made include orthogonality of the ψ_0 and ψ_1 wave functions and a weak interaction limit approximation.
- (11) Mulliken population analysis: $q_A = Z_A \sum_{\mu \in A} (\mathbf{PS})_{\mu\mu}$, where Z_A is the charge of atomic nucleus A, P is the density matrix, and S is the overlap matrix; the index of summation indicates that we only sum over the basis functions centered on A.
- (12) Rubtsov, I. V.; Kang, Y. K.; Therien, M. J, manuscript in preparation. (13) Rubtsov, I. V.; Redmore, N. P.; Hochstrasser, R. M.; Therien, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 2684-2685.

JA030674K